Pericellular Hydrogel/Nanonets Inhibit Cancer Cells**

Yi Kuang, Junfeng Shi, Jie Li, Dan Yuan, Kyle A. Alberti, Qiaobing Xu, and Bing Xu*

Dedicated to Professor George M. Whitesides on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Abstract: Fibrils formed by proteins are vital components for cells. However, selective formation of xenogenous nanofibrils of small molecules on mammalian cells has yet to be observed. Here we report an unexpected observation of hydrogel/nanonets of a small D-peptide derivative in pericellular space. Surface and secretory phosphatases dephosphorylate a precursor of a hydrogelator to trigger the self-assembly of the hydrogelator and to result in pericellular hydrogel/nanonets selectively around the cancer cells that overexpress phosphatases. Cell-based assays confirm that the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets block cellular mass exchange to induce apoptosis of cancer cells, including multidrug-resistance (MDR) cancer cells, MES-SA/Dx5. Pericellular hydrogel/nanonets of small molecules to exhibit distinct functions illustrates a fundamentally new way to engineer molecular assemblies spatiotemporally in cellular microenvironment for inhibiting cancer cell growth and even metastasis.

Besides serving as important internal components (e.g., cytoskeletons) of cells, fibrils outside the cells also bear significant functions. For example, fibrils formed by polysaccharides and fibrous proteins, such as fibronectin, collagens, and laminins,[1] afford networks that withhold extracellular fluid, and the resulting extracellular matrix maintains multicellular structures and mediates cell–cell communication.[2] A recent study demonstrated that human α-defensin 6 (HD6) self-assembles in contact with bacteria surface protein to form nanonets that entrap the bacteria and block their translocation.[3] The various functionalities of extracellular fibrils and networks formed by biomolecules suggest that it is feasible to build xenogenous fibrils extracellularly (e.g., in the pericellular space) as a new approach for regulating the interaction of cell with its microenvironment,[4] thus controlling the fate of cells.

Like self-assembling peptides and proteins, certain small organic molecules self-assemble[5] in water to afford nano-

fibrils as matrices of hydrogels[6] (e.g., in response to biostimuli such as enzymes[7]). Interestingly, a vancomycin–pyrene conjugate, which self-assembles in water to form nanofibrils,[8] exhibits two orders of magnitude enhanced antibacterial activity against vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE), plausibly through self-assembled multivalent vancomycin binding the receptors on bacterial cell wall.[9] However, the observation of xenogenous nanofibrils on mammalian cells has yet to be reported. During our research of enzyme-catalyzed self-assembly of D-peptide derivatives,[10] the self-assembly of a small D-peptide derivative, surprisingly, forms pericellular hydrogel/nanonets. Here, we report the observation, the origin of formation, and a potential application (i.e., inhibiting cancer cells) of the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets.

As illustrated in Figure 1 a, our results show that 1) surface and secretory phosphatases[11] from cells catalytically dephosphorylate a small D-peptide derivative (e.g., D-**1**) to form a hydrogelator (e.g., D-**2**); 2) the accumulation of the hydrogelator results in a network of nanofibrils as the scaffold of a hydrogel in the pericellular space; 3) the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets entrap secretory proteins, block cellular uptake, thus decreasing cell migration, preventing cell adhesion, and induce cell apoptosis; 4) most importantly, due to the overexpression of surface and secretory phosphatases by cancer cells,[12] the pericellular nanonets selectively form on the cancer cells (e.g., HeLa, MES-SA, and MES-SA/Dx5). As an unexpected example of enzyme-instructed self-assembly[7c] in pericellular space, this work illustrates a new way that controls the fate of different types of cells according to the expression and location of enzymes that regulate the

[*]   Dr. Y. Kuang, J. Shi, J. Li, D. Yuan, Prof. Dr. B. Xu
Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University
415 South St, Waltham, MA 02454 (USA)
E-mail: bxu@brandeis.edu
Homepage: http://people.brandeis.edu/~bxu

K. A. Alberti, Prof. Q. Xu
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tufts University
419 Boston Ave, Medford, MA 02155 (USA)

Figure 1. a) Enzyme-catalyzed formation of pericellular hydrogel/nanonets to induce cell death. b) Molecular structures of the precursor (D-**1**) and the hydrogelator (D-**2**).

spatiotemporal profiles of molecular nanofibrils for controlling cellular processes.

Being synthesized according to the reported procedure[13] and consisting of a naphthalene-capped tripeptide, D-Phe-D-Phe-D-Tyr, molecule D-**1** differs with D-**2** only in that the D-Tyr is phosphorylated (Figure 1 b). Like a previous work on enzyme-instructed self-assembly of D-peptides,[10b] alkaline phosphatase (ALP) catalyzes the dephosphorylation of the precursor (D-**1**; 0.20 wt %/2.77 mM) to form the hydrogelator (D-**2**; 0.18 wt %/2.77 mM), which self-assembles in water to form nanofibrils and to result in a hydrogel in PBS buffer. However, an unexpected phenomenon occurred when incubating HeLa cells with D-**1**. As shown in Figure 2 a, the incubation of a confluent layer of HeLa cells (in a 35 mm Petri dish) in complete culture medium (1 mL) containing D-**1** (560 μM) results in a layer of hydrogel-like soft materials on the cells after 2 h of incubation at 37 °C. While reducing the concentration of D-**1** to 280 μM still causes hydrogelation on cells (Figure 2 b), little such hydrogel occurs on the HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 140 μM (Figure 2 c). LC-MS analysis reveals that the hydrogel contains D-**2** at about 2.05 mM (Table S1 in the Supporting Information), much higher than the concentration used for incubation. This result suggests that the conversion of D-**1** to D-**2** leads to the pericellular accumulation of D-**2** for the hydrogelation on the HeLa cells. In contrast, the incubation of HeLa cells with D-**2** at even 560 μM hardly results in hydrogelation (Figure 2 d), further suggesting that phosphatase-catalyzed dephosphorylation of D-**1** results in localized self-assembly of D-**2** in the pericellular space for hydrogelation. This notion agrees with the observation that the phosphatase inhibitors ("Pierce") prevent forming the pericellular hydrogel around the HeLa cells (Figure S2a).

HeLa cell conditioned medium also dephosphorylates D-**1** to result in hydrogelation. After 48 h of incubation, the medium treated by D-**1** at 560 μM completely transforms from a solution to a hydrogel (Figure S1a,b), and the medium treated by D-**1** at 280 μM also contains clots of hydrogels in the solution. Being similar to the case of HeLa cells, the medium containing D-**1** at 140 μM or D-**2** at 560 μM fails to form a hydrogel (Figure S1c,d). These results confirm that the secretory phosphatases from the HeLa cells convert D-**1** to D-**2** and contribute to the transition from the solution to the hydrogel. Because of the presence of surface and secretory phosphatases on/near cell membrane, the concentration of phosphatases is high in pericellular space, thus, more D-**2** accumulates and localizes in the pericellular space to self-assemble for hydrogelation. Being directly incubated with the cells at 560 μM (Figure 2 d), D-**2** distributes homogenously in the culture medium (like the case of Figure S1d), thus it is unable to accumulate on cells to form pericellular hydrogels. Moreover, the incubation of HeLa cells with D-**1** (at 560 μM) and ALP (0.1 U mL^{-1}) for 2 h hardly results in pericellular hydrogelation (Figure S2b), suggesting that the gradient of phosphatases is critical for the dynamics of the pericellular accumulation of the hydrogelators (i.e., D-**2**). Although it remains difficult to distinct the contributions from surface and secreted phosphatases, the much faster gelation in the presence of the cells than the cell conditioned medium implies more contribution from the surface phosphatases. We speculate that the major phosphatases for the dephosphorylation of D-**1** are placental alkaline phosphatases (PLAP), which exist in both membrane-bound and secretory forms and exhibit elevated expression in cervical adenocarcinoma cells (HeLa)[14] and uterine sarcoma cells (MES-SA; MES-SA/Dx5).[15]

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) reveals that the surface of the HeLa cells incubated with D-**1** differs from that of the untreated HeLa cells. Because the organic solvent (e.g., alcohol or acetone) used in cell fixation and the repeated washing destroy the supramolecular hydrogels, we had to freeze-dry the cells grown on a glass slide for preserving the structure of the nanofibrils in the hydrogels. As shown in Figure 2 e, while the untreated HeLa cells exhibit a smooth surface, the cells treated with D-**1** at 560 μM show a completely different morphology of porous structures cover the surfaces of the cells (i.e., nanonets). Additionally, the cells treated with D-**1** at 280 μM display fiber-like structures attached closely to the cell surface (Figure S3). These results coincide with the pericellular hydrogelation on HeLa cells treated with D-**1** at 280 and 560 μM. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of the pericellular hydrogel on HeLa cells treated by D-


Figure 2. Enzymatic formation of the hydrogel/nanonets on the cells. Optical images of the HeLa cells incubated with D-**1** at a) 560, b) 280, and c) 140 μM, or with D-**2** at d) 560 μM for 2 h. White arrows point at the hydrogel/nanonets. e) SEM images of freeze-dried HeLa cells treated with D-**1** at 0 μM (control) or 560 μM for 2 h. Scale bar = 10 μm. f) Negative stained TEM images of the pericellular hydrogels on the HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 280 μM. g) High magnification image of the nanofibrils in Figure 2 f. Scale bar in (f) and (g) is 100 nm.

1 at 280 μM reveals that the hydrogel consists of networks of nanofibrils (Figure 2 f) that have diameters about 20 nm (Figure 2 g). That is, the nanofibrils form nanonets. Similar to the nanofibrils of D-**2** formed by the addition of ALP into the solution of D-**1** in PBS buffer (Figure S4), these nanofibrils on the cell surface entangle with each other to form the matrices of the hydrogel. Moreover, TEM shows the rough dark edges on the pericellular nanofibrils (Figure 2 g), indicating that other biomacromolecules likely attach to the nanofibrils.

Congo red and DAPI,[16] a stain for nanofibrils formed by self-assembly[17] and a nucleus dye, respectively, help further visualize the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets on single cells. As shown in Figure 3a, after incubation with D-**1** at 280 μM for 12 h and the addition of Congo red and DAPI, HeLa cells show obvious red fluorescence that outlines the cell shape, while untreated HeLa cells (i.e., the control) show little red fluorescence. Moreover, DAPI is unable to enter the HeLa cells treated with D-**1** at 280 μM and co-localizes with Congo red, as shown in both the 2-D image and the 3-D stacked image (see video in the Supporting Information). This result differs drastically from the staining of the untreated HeLa cells (i.e., DAPI stains the nuclei) and confirms that 1) the hydrogel/nanonets form exclusively in pericellular space and 2) the pericellular hydrogels/nanonets prevent the diffusion of DAPI into the cells. Since DAPI is a small molecule (M.W. = 277 Da), the block of the cell entry of DAPI suggests that the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets are able to block other molecules (e.g., biomacromolecules) entering cells. The uneven distribution of the Congo red on the surface of the D-**1** treated HeLa cells agrees with the result from SEM, suggesting the inhomogeneous distribution of the hydrogel on the surface of the HeLa cells.

We treated Ect1/E6E7 cells (immortalized normal human cervical epithelial cells, which have same tissue and organ origins as HeLa cells) with the same procedure as that on the HeLa cells. The Ect1/E6E7 cells, after being incubated with D-**1** even at 560 μM for 12 h, hardly display any red fluorescence, which is similar to that of the untreated Ect1/E6E7 cells, and DAPI stains the nuclei of both the treated and the untreated Ect1/E6E7 cells (Figure 3a). These results agree with that hydrogels hardly form on Ect1/E6E7 cells even for a prolonged incubation time of 48 h (Figure S5). The difference of hydrogel/nanonets formation by D-**1** on HeLa cells and Ect1/E6E7 cells agrees with that HeLa cells have higher levels phosphatase activities than Ect1/E6E7 cells do (Figure S6).

To verify whether the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets block secretory proteins/enzymes into the culture medium, we evaluated the amount of phosphatases in the four samples: the blank medium (Blank), medium incubated with untreated HeLa cells (Control), pericellular hydrogel on HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 560 μM for 12 h (Gel), and the suspension of the medium of HeLa cells treated by D-**1** after removing the hydrogel (Suspension). According to the result shown in Figure 3b, the amount of phosphatases accumulated in the Gel is about 27 times higher than that in the Control. Consequently, the amount of phosphatases in the Suspension is significantly lower than that in the Control. These results indicate that the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets capture the


Figure 3. The pericellular hydrogel/nanonets inhibit cancer cells. a) Overlaid images and 3D stacked z-scan images of Congo red and DAPI stained HeLa and Ect1/E6E7 cell treated by D-**1** or just culture medium as control for 12 h. HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 280 μM; Ect1/E6E7 cells treated by D-**1** at 560 μM. Scale bar = 10 μm. White dots outline the cells. b) Comparison of phosphate activity in blank medium (Blank), medium incubated with HeLa cells (Control), pericellular hydrogels on HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 560 μM (Gel), and the suspension medium of HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 560 μM (Suspension). c) SDS-PAGE showing the protein composition in the Blank, Control, Gel, and Suspension. Arrows point at protein bands that appear only in the lane of the Gel. d) Cell viabilities of HeLa (H), Ect1/E6E7 (E), MES-SA (M) and MES-SA/Dx5 (MD) cells treated by 280 μM of D-**1** or HeLa cells treated by 280 μM of D-**2** for 48 h. e) Change of relative amount of apoptosis signal molecules over time in HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 280 μM.

secretory proteins/enzymes from the cells, thus blocking them to enter the culture medium. Moreover, we analyzed the protein composition in the four samples by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (Figure 3c) and found that the Gel lane contains more bands than in the lanes of the Control and the Suspension, suggesting that the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets block diffusion to enrich secretory proteins. In other words, the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets largely block cellular mass exchange between the cells with their environment.

Blocking cellular mass exchange has profound effects on critical cellular activities (e.g., migration, adhesion, and proliferation) of the cancer cells. According to migration assay[18] shown in Figure S7a, the HeLa cells treated by D-**1** at 280 and 560 μM have gaps larger than that of the untreated HeLa cells (i.e., the control) and those of the HeLa cells

treated by D-**1** at 140 µM or D-**2** at 560 µM, confirming that the pericellular hydrogel/nanonets decrease the migration of the HeLa cells. Moreover, as shown by the time progression curve of the cell adhesion (Figure S7b) of trypsinized HeLa cells,[19] the addition of D-**1** at 560 µM significantly delays the adhesion of HeLa cells (i.e., less than 5% cells reattach to surface after at 4 h). The addition of D-**2** at 560 µM, though exhibiting a slight delay of adhesion at the beginning of incubation, hardly hampers cell adhesion (e.g., being similar to that of control, more 80% of cells reattach at 4 h). Most importantly, as shown in Figure 3d, at 280 µM, D-**1** significantly decreases the viability of the HeLa cells to about 10% after 48 h incubation. Moreover, 280 µM of D-**1** also forms pericellular hydrogel/nanonets (Figure S8) and inhibits the growth of MES-SA and MES-SA/dx5 cells, regardless of the multi-drug resistance of the latter. In contrast, over 60% of the Ect1/E6E7 cells treated with D-**1** at 280 µM for 48 h remain viable. The direct addition of D-**2** at 280 µM hardly inhibits the growth of HeLa cells. These results confirm that the pericellular dephosphorylation of D-**1** leads to the self-assembly of D-**2** on the surface of cancer cells, thus the network of nanofibrils on the cancer cells inhibit the entrapped cells (Figure S9). We used ELISA to quantify the amount of several key apoptosis signal molecules over time in the HeLa cells incubated with D-**1** at 280 µM (Figure 3e), and we found that the amount of active Caspase 3 and active PARP increase at 24 and 32 h of incubation, suggesting that the cells undergo caspases dependent apoptosis. The apoptosis of the HeLa cells also implies that the toxicity of pericellular hydrogel/nanonets unlikely originates from the perturbation of plasma membrane, which primarily results in necrosis of the cells.[20] Moreover, the removal of the media containing D-**1** (at gradient concentration) after 4 hour incubation restores cell viability (Figure S12), suggesting little tight ligand-receptor type interaction between D-**2** (or the nanofibrils of D-**2**) with plasma membrane to disrupt the plasma membrane of cells.

One key prerequisite for pericellular hydrogelation is the proteolytic stability of the small molecular precursors and hydrogelators. The incubation of HeLa cells with L-**1** (the L-peptide counterpart of D-**1**; Figure S10) at 560 µM at 37 °C fails to form pericellular hydrogel/nanofibrils due to the proteolysis of L-**1** in cellular environment (Figure S11).

In summary, this work demonstrates that molecular nanofibers, formed by enzyme-instructed self-assembly of innocuous monomers, selectively inhibit cancer cells. The rapid formation of the pericellular D-peptide hydrogels contributes to the entrapment of secretory phosphatases in the hydrogel, suggesting a fundamentally new way, which relies on the localization of enzymes, to form supramolecular hydrogels/nanofibrils in a specific cellular location for controlling the fate of cells.[21] In addition, the pericellular hydrogelation also promises a new method that enriches and regulates secretome of cells for understanding and modulating cellular microenvironment.

[1] A. V. Persikov, B. Brodsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 1101.

[2] S. Mann, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 5386; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 5306.

[3] H. T. Chu, M. Pazgier, G. Jung, S. P. Nuccio, P. A. Castillo, M. F. de Jong, M. G. Winter, S. E. Winter, J. Wehkamp, B. Shen, N. H. Salzman, M. A. Underwood, R. M. Tsolis, G. M. Young, W. Y. Lu, R. I. Lehrer, A. J. Baumler, C. L. Bevins, *Science* **2012**, *337*, 477.

[4] N. Iida, A. Dzutsev, C. A. Stewart, L. Smith, N. Bouladoux, R. A. Weingarten, D. A. Molina, R. Salcedo, T. Back, S. Cramer, R. M. Dai, H. Kiu, M. Cardone, S. Naik, A. K. Patri, E. Wang, F. M. Marincola, K. M. Frank, Y. Belkaid, G. Trinchieri, R. S. Goldszmid, *Science* **2013**, *342*, 967.

[5] a) J. M. Lehn, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 2400; b) G. M. Whitesides, B. Grzybowski, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 2418.

[6] a) L. A. Estroff, A. D. Hamilton, *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1201; b) M. M. Zhang, D. H. Xu, X. Z. Yan, J. Z. Chen, S. Y. Dong, B. Zheng, F. H. Huang, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 7117; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7011; c) D. Kiriya, M. Ikeda, H. Onoe, M. Takinoue, H. Komatsu, Y. Shimoyama, I. Hamachi, S. Takeuchi, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 1585; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1553; d) S. Tamesue, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi, S. Shinkai, A. Harada, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 7623; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7461.

[7] a) Z. M. Yang, H. W. Gu, D. G. Fu, P. Gao, J. K. Lam, B. Xu, *Adv. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1440; b) S. Toledano, R. J. Williams, V. Jayawarna, R. V. Ulijn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1070; c) Z. Yang, G. Liang, B. Xu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 315; d) J. P. Yuan, D. Wen, N. Gaponik, A. Eychmuller, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 1010; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 976; e) R. Krishna Kumar, X. X. Yu, A. Patil, M. Li, S. Mann, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 9515; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 9343; f) R. J. Williams, A. M. Smith, R. Collins, N. Hodson, A. K. Das, R. V. Ulijn, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2009**, *4*, 19.

[8] B. G. Xing, C. W. Yu, K. H. Chow, P. L. Ho, D. G. Fu, B. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 14846.

[9] B. G. Xing, P. L. Ho, C. W. Yu, K. H. Chow, H. W. Gu, B. Xu, *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 2224.

[10] a) J. Y. Li, Y. Kuang, Y. Gao, X. W. Du, J. F. Shi, B. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 542; b) J. Y. Li, Y. Gao, Y. Kuang, J. F. Shi, X. W. Du, J. Zhou, H. M. Wang, Z. M. Yang, B. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9907.

[11] C. C. Wu, C. W. Hsu, C. D. Chen, C. J. Yu, K. P. Chang, D. I. Tai, H. P. Liu, W. H. Su, Y. S. Chang, J. S. Yu, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2010**, *9*, 1100.

[12] W. H. Fishman, N. R. Inglis, S. Green, C. L. Anstiss, N. K. Gosh, A. E. Reif, R. Rustigia, M. J. Krant, L. L. Stolbach, *Nature* **1968**, *219*, 697.

[13] Z. Yang, G. Liang, M. Ma, Y. Gao, B. Xu, *Small* **2007**, *3*, 558.

[14] N. A. Elson, R. P. Cox, *Biochem. Genet.* **1969**, *3*, 549.

[15] K. G. Nelson, J. S. Haskill, S. Sloan, J. M. Siegfried, G. P. Siegal, L. Walton, D. G. Kaufman, *Cancer Res.* **1987**, *47*, 2814.

[16] J. Kapuscinski, *Biotech. Histochem.* **1995**, *70*, 220.

[17] W. H. Binder, O. W. Smrzka, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 7482; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7324.

[18] D. A. Lauffenburger, A. F. Horwitz, *Cell* **1996**, *84*, 359.

[19] M. J. Humphries in *Extracellular Matrix Protocols*, Vol. 522 (Eds.: S. Even-Ram, V. Artym), Humana Press, New York, **2009**, p. 203.

[20] S. M. Ogbourne, A. Suhrbier, B. Jones, S. J. Cozzi, G. M. Boyle, M. Morris, D. McAlpine, J. Johns, T. M. Scott, K. P. Sutherland, J. M. Gardner, T. T. T. Le, A. Lenarczyk, J. H. Aylward, P. G. Parsons, *Cancer Res.* **2004**, *64*, 2833.

[21] Y. Kuang, B. Xu, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 7082; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6944.